

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

DATE MAILED: 11/10/2003

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/980,981	10/18/2001	James C. Schroeder	1168PCT 2067		
7590 11/10/2003			EXAMINER		
Douglas B Farrow			ADDIE, RAYMOND W		
Corporate Intellectual Property Counsel Graco Inc			(DE LEVE	0 - 000 NUL (DCD	
88 11th Avenue N E			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
Minneapolis, MN 55413			3671		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

					7
•		Application	n No.	Applicant(s)	`
		09/980,98	1	SCHROEDER, JAMES C.	
Offic	ce Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit	
		Raymond V		3671	
The MA Period for Reply	ILING DATE of this communication	on appears on the	cover sheet with the d	correspondence address	
THE MAILING - Extensions of time after SIX (6) MON - If the period for re - If NO period for re - Failure to reply wit - Any reply received	D STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT e may be available under the provisions of 37 of The from the mailing date of this communicated ply specified above is less than thirty (30) days ply is specified above, the maximum statutory thin the set or extended period for reply will, by the by the Office later than three months after the madjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	TION. CFR 1.136(a). In no ever tion. s, a reply within the statut period will apply and will y statute, cause the applic	nt, however, may a reply be tin ory minimum of thirty (30) day expire SIX (6) MONTHS from action to become ABANDONE	nely filed rs will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communicatio D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	on.
1)⊠ Respon	sive to communication(s) filed o	n <u>05 September 2</u>	<u> 2003</u> .		
2a) This act	tion is FINAL . 2b)	This action is r	non-final.		
closed i	nis application is in condition for a coordance with the practice to				is
Disposition of Cla					
	1 is/are pending in the application				
•	e above claim(s) is/are wi	ithdrawn from con	sideration.		
·	is/are allowed.				
<u> </u>	1 is/are rejected.				
	is/are objected to.				
8) Claim(s) Application Pape	are subject to restriction are	and/or election re	quirement.		
_	ification is objected to by the Exa	aminer.			
10)∐ The drawi	ing(s) filed on is/are: a)□	accepted or b)	bjected to by the Exa	miner.	
Applicar	nt may not request that any objection	n to the drawing(s) t	e held in abeyance. S	ee 37 CFR 1.85(a).	
11) The propo	osed drawing correction filed on	is: a)□ ap	proved b) disappro	oved by the Examiner.	
If approv	ved, corrected drawings are required	d in reply to this Offi	ce action.		
12) The oath	or declaration is objected to by t	he Examiner.			
Priority under 35	U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120				
13) Acknowle	edgment is made of a claim for f	foreign priority und	ler 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).	
a)∏ All b)[Some * c) None of:				
1.☐ Ce	ertified copies of the priority docu	ıments have been	received.		
2.☐ Ce	ertified copies of the priority docu	uments have been	received in Applicati	on No	
_	ppies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation tached detailed Office action for	nal Bureau (PCT F	Rule 17.2(a)).		
	Igment is made of a claim for do		•		ion).
a) 🔲 The	translation of the foreign languag	ge provisional app	lication has been rec	eived.	•
Attachment(s)	agentation in a control of the	priority are			
1) Notice of Referer 2) Notice of Draftsp	nces Cited (PTO-892) erson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 osure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N	48)		v (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)	

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 09/980,981

Art Unit: 3671

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Objections

1. Claim 1 is objected to because of the following informalities:

Claim 1, In. 7 the phrase "so as to pivot and follow the path of said vehicle"; should be --so as to pivot and follow <u>an intended</u> path of said vehicle--. Since the guide wheel is not actually following the vehicle, but is in fact forward of the front of the vehicle.

Claim 1, lines 10-11 recite the phrase "maintain said guide rod on a line to be striped so as to maintain proper placement of said line through curves". Should be --maintain said guide rod on a line to be striped so as to maintain proper placement of a painted line, through curves--.

Appropriate correction is required.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 2. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,981

Art Unit: 3671

Claim 1 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Shaffer # 2,575,979 in view of Jones # 5,951,201.

Shaffer discloses a guidance system for use with a vehicle-mounted line striper (14), said guidance system comprising:

A frame (un-numbered, see Fig. 1), for mounting on said vehicle (11) and supporting;

1st and 2nd guide arms (unnumbered, see Fig. 1), pivotally mounted to the frame.

A ground-contacting guide wheel (unnumbered see Fig. 1) rotatably mounted to said 1st guide arm.

A guide rod, extending vertically upwardly from said 1st guide arm.

What Shaffer does not disclose is making the guide rods pivotable about a vertical axis such that the guide rod and guide wheel pivot to maintain said guide rod on a line to be striped. However, Jones '201 teaches it is desirable to provide a line striping vehicle, with a line striping guidance system (83) comprising a laterally adjustable guide arm (83) that can pivot about a vertical axis (as illustrated in Fig. 13b); in order to assist the driver with keeping the vehicle and guide arm/rod in the proper position. See col. 10, lns. 39-49. Therefore, it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art, at the time the invention was made, to provide the guidance system of Shaffer, with laterally adjustable guide arms, as taught by Jones '121, in order to assist the driver and maximize accuracy of the guidance system.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,981

Art Unit: 3671

Response to Amendment

3. Applicant's amendment to the claim, filed 9/5/2003 is acknowledged. The amendment included functional recitations that the prior art, of the Last Office Action, did not teach nor suggest. Therefore, a New Search of the prior art was necessitated and a New Grounds of Rejection have bee put forth.

Response to Arguments

4. Although Applicant's arguments with respect to claim 1 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection; arguments drawn to the prior art of Shaffer '979 will be addressed.

Applicant argues "Claim 1 also calls for the 2nd guide arm to be pivotably attached to the frame and the 1st guide arm".

To that extent, Shaffer discloses a guidance system for use with a vehicle-mounted line striper (14), wherein 1st and 2nd guide arms are pivotally mounted to the frame, but are not pivotably connected to one another with the intended purpose of permitting the guide wheel to pivot and follow an intended path of the vehicle.

Application/Control Number: 09/980,981

Art Unit: 3671

However, Jones '201 teaches it is desirable to provide a laterally adjustable guide arm/rod in order to assist the driver with keeping the guide arm/rod in a proper position. Hence, it would have been obvious to provide the guidance system of Shaffer with the laterally adjustable guide arm of Jones in order to maximize the accuracy of the guide rod. Therefore, the rejection is seen as proper and is upheld.

Conclusion

5. Applicant's amendment necessitated the new ground(s) of rejection presented in this Office action. Accordingly, **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL**. See MPEP § 706.07(a). Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the date of this final action.

7

Application/Control Number: 09/980,981

Art Unit: 3671

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Raymond Addie whose telephone number is (703) 305-0135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 7:00 am to 2:30 pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Thomas B. Will, can be reached on (703) 308-3870. The fax phone number for this Group is (703) 872-9326.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the Group receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 308-1113.

Thomas B. Will
Supervisory Patent Examiner
Group 3600

RWA 10/28/2003